

# CO<sub>2</sub> Storage Efficiency: Challenges with standards and consistency in capacity estimations

## 14 - 15 September 2023

Hybrid Conference – The Geological Society London, and Zoom

### **Updated Provisional Programme**

Day One	
08.30	Registration
08.50	Welcome Tina Lohr, ERCE
08.55	Introduction Ellen Mitchell, ERCE
	Morning Session with Keynote talks:  Experience and use of storage efficiency in describing and comparing CCS globally  Session chairs: Tina Lohr, Adrian Topham
09.05	The journey from CS license award to storage permit Matteo Tazzi, UK North Sea Transition Authority (NSTA)
09.40 Virtual	NETL's Perspective on Storage Efficiency and CO₂-SCREEN Angela Goodman, US Department of Energy, NETL
10.20	Communicating readiness for CO <sub>2</sub> operations using Storage Readiness Levels, and its application to the UK national CO <sub>2</sub> storage resource  Maxine Akhurst, British Geological Survey (BGS)
11.00	BREAK
11.30 Virtual	To "E" or not to "E", that is the Question. Scott M. Frailey, US Geological Survey (USGS)
12.10	CO <sub>2</sub> storage efficiency: experiences and reflections Chick Wattenbarger, ExxonMobil
12.50	LUNCH
	Afternoon Session with mini-talks & Posters:  Experience and use of storage efficiency in describing and comparing CCS globally  Session chairs: Florian Doster, Clare Glover
13.50	P1 - Calculating a consistent storage resource across a growing portfolio Alison Isherwood, Storegga
13.58	P2 - What industry needs to estimate storage resource and why most published Storage Efficiency Coefficients don't help us Peter Zweigel, Equinor
14.06	P3 - E-mission, the quest to forecast E prior to injection of CO₂ for storage Susann Daniels, University of Durham, Geospatial Research Ltd, UK



14.14	P4 - <b>Strengths and weaknesses of the SPE SRMS</b> Xavier Troussaut, TotalEnergies
14.22	P5 - The Importance of Storage Efficiency in the Application of SRMS Gordon Taylor, RPS
14.30	P6 - <b>Storage efficiency and reduced complexity modelling</b> Hariharan Ramachandran, Heriot Watt University, UK
14.38	P7 - CO <sub>2</sub> storage resources in saline aquifers – pressure analytical methods and CO <sub>2</sub> migration challenges Sylvain Thibeau, TotalEnergies
14.46	P8 - Pressure-based Storage Capacity Mapping and Implications for Storage Efficiency Alex Bump, BEG University of Texas, USA
14.54	P9 - <b>EASiTool 5.0 for CO₂ storage capacity estimation</b> Seyyed Hosseini, BEG University of Texas, USA
15.00	BREAK
15.30	<ul> <li>Panel Session 1:         Experience and use of storage efficiency in describing and comparing CCS globally         Session chairs: Tina Lohr, Ellen Mitchell         Panel session leader: John Underhill, University Director for Energy Transition and Professor of Geoscience at Aberdeen University     </li> <li>Panel speakers: Angela Goodman (DoE-NETL), Adrian Topham (The Crown Estate), Peter Zweigel (Equinor), TBC</li> <li>Does the concept of total capacity have a place in evaluating and comparing storage potential?</li> <li>How applicable are conventional or unconventional HC projects to CO<sub>2</sub> storage?</li> <li>Is CO<sub>2</sub> storage efficiency calculation more useful as a project-based approach or rather using a generic approach?</li> <li>How useful are comparisons between depleted gas fields and saline aquifers?</li> </ul>
17.00	End of day one
17.00- 18.00	Drinks reception

Day Two		
08.40	Registration	
08.50	Welcome	
	Morning Session with Keynote talks:  Methodologies and applications of storage efficiency calculations  Session chairs: Philip Ringrose, Ellen Mitchell	



15.55	BREAK
	Jules Reed, Premier Corex
14.46	P17 - Title TBC
14.38 Virtual	P16 - CO <sub>2</sub> Storage Efficiency for Capacity Estimation – Integrating Geological and Engineering Risks in a Clastic Saline Aquifer in Central Alberta, Canada Vicky Wang, GLJ, Canada
	Trapping in Saline Aquifers  Mustafa Alkhowaildi, King Abdullah University, Saudi Arabia
14.30	P15 - Utilizing Machine Learning Power to Predict the Performance of Carbon Dioxide
Virtual	Geological and Geochemical Properties on CO <sub>2</sub> Injectivity and Storativity: A Case Study Cameroon Gulf of Guinea Gregory T. Mwenketishi, University of Bradford, UK
14.22	Lex Rijkels, ExploCrowd P14 - A Compositional Numerical Model Study on the Impacts of Aquifer Formation
14.14	Philip Ringrose, Equinor & NTNU P13 - A proxy model for CO₂ injection during a typical storage project
14.06	P12 - Estimating the contacted pore space and CO <sub>2</sub> saturation using seismic data from the Sleipner CO <sub>2</sub> storage project
13.58	P11 - Materiality of Plume size Calculations and storage efficiency Prasanna Krishnamurthy, ExxonMobil
13.50	P10 - Screening for Open Saline Aquifers - Estimating Storage Efficiency based on Plume Shape Martin Neumaier, ArianeLogiX
	Afternoon Session with mini-talks & Posters:  Methodologies and applications of storage efficiency calculations  Session chairs: Florian Doster, Clare Glover
12.50	LUNCH
12.10	Using sand-tank experiments to visualize and quantify flow and saturation for predicting CO <sub>2</sub> storage efficiency in clastic materials  Tip Meckel, BEG University of Texas, USA
11.30	How does the early 0.5 MT performance inform the calculation of an Efficiency Factor at the Aquistore Project, Canada Rick Chalaturnyk, University of Alberta, Canada
11.00	BREAK
10.20	Geomechanical pressurization constraint development – Example from the Northern Lights project, offshore Norway Nic Thompson, Equinor
09.40	Storage capacity assessment techniques for gigatonne-scale CCS Sarah Gasda, NORCE Research
09.00	Storage efficiency at opposite ends of scales of interest Sam Krevor, Imperial College London, UK



15.30	Panel Session 2: Methodologies and applications of storage efficiency calculations Session chairs: Tina Lohr, Ellen Mitchell
	Panel session leader: Chick Wattenbarger, Reservoir Engineer at ExxonMobil
	<u>Panel speakers:</u> Sylvain Thibeau (TotalEnergies), Alex Bump (BEG Uni Texas), Elaine Campbell (Storegga), Rick Chalaturnyk (Uni Alberta)
	1) How do we ensure consistency of methodology across the evolving stage of site evaluation?
	2) Do different project stages require different approaches, and if so which ones?
	3) What appraisal processes need to be used to prove the efficiency calculation?
17.00	Closing Remarks
17.15	End of Convention

The Energy Group of the Geological Society would like to thank the following for their support for this conference:

#### **Conference Sponsors:**





### **Corporate Sponsors:**





